

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	Ĩ			ï	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/805,681	03/14/2001	Sangita Phadtare	1137-R-00	3645	
	590 07/22/2 <mark>0</mark> 02			<b>}</b>	
	SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS, LLP	EXAMINER			
1600 MARKET SUITE 3600		7 ::: }	KERR, KAT	KERR, KATHLÉEN M	
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<u>}</u>		1652		
	,		DATE MAILED: 07/22/2002	. 6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ď							
· ·	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/805,681	PHADTARE ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kathleen M Kerr	1652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address od for Reply						
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 /	<u> April 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Disposition	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖾	8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	Application Papers						
9)□ T	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□ T	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□ T	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.					
12)□ T	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 .	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application							
_ a)	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
1	Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev.		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 09/805,681

Art Unit: 1652

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Application Status**

1. Claims 1-14 are pending in the instant application.

#### Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
  - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to DHCP efflux proteins, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - II. Claims 7-13, drawn to genes encoding DHCP efflux proteins, plasmids, and bacteria, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
  - III. Claim 14, drawn to methods of identifying inhibitors of DHCP efflux proteins, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The DNA of Group II is related to the efflux proteins of Group I by virtue of the fact that the DNA encode the proteins. The DNA molecule has utility for the recombinant production of the protein in a host cell. Although the DNA and the protein are related, they are distinct inventions because the protein product can be made by other and materially distinct processes, such as purification from a natural source. Furthermore, DNA can be used for processes other than the production of protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assays. Therefore, Groups I and II are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1652

Groups I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the proteins can be used for a materially different process of using the product, such as in activity assays of DHCP efflux proteins. Thus, Groups I and III are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Groups II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the genes can be used for a materially different process of using the product, such as in the detection of other DHCP efflux proteins using hybridization assays of DNA libraries from other species. Thus, Groups II and III are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Election

4. A telephone call was made to Guy Donatiello on July 21, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is

Art Unit: 1652

advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

#### Conclusion

5. A complete response to the instant Office action must include an election of invention to be examined.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen M Kerr whose telephone number is (703) 305-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathupura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

KMK Lather Le July 21, 2002